from collections import OrderedDict

```
d = OrderedDict()
d['foo'] = 1
d['bar'] = 2
d['spam'] = 3
d['grok'] = 4
# Outputs "foo 1", "bar 2", "spam 3", "grok 4"
for key in d:
    print(key, d[key])
>>> import json
>>> json.dumps(d)
 '{"foo": 1, "bar": 2, "spam": 3, "grok": 4}'
>>>
```

```
min_price = min(zip(prices.values(), prices.keys()))
                       # min price is (10.75, 'FB')
                       max_price = max(zip(prices.values(), prices.keys()))
prices = {
                       # max price is (612.78. 'AAPL')
   'ACME': 45.23,
                       prices_sorted = sorted(zip(prices.values(), prices.keys()))
   'AAPL': 612.78.
                       # prices sorted is [(10.75, 'FB'), (37.2, 'HPQ'),
   'IBM': 205.55.
                                           (45.23, 'ACME'), (205.55, 'IBM'),
   'HPQ': 37.20,
                       #
                                           (612.78, 'AAPL')]
   'FB': 10.75
                       #
}
                        prices_and_names = zip(prices.values(), prices.keys())
                       print(min(prices_and_names)) # OK
                        print(max(prices_and_names)) # ValueError: max() arg is an empty sequence
```

prices = {

}

'ACME': 45.23, 'AAPL': 612.78,

'IBM': 205.55.

'HPQ': 37.20,

'FB': 10.75

```
min(prices) # Returns 'AAPL'
max(prices) # Returns 'IBM'
min(prices.values()) # Returns 10.75
max(prices.values()) # Returns 612.78
min(prices, key=lambda k: prices[k]) # Returns 'FB'
max(prices, key=lambda k: prices[k]) # Returns 'AAPL'
min_value = prices[min(prices, key=lambda k: prices[k])]
>>> prices = { 'AAA' : 45.23, 'ZZZ': 45.23 }
>>> min(zip(prices.values(), prices.keys()))
(45.23, 'AAA')
>>> max(zip(prices.values(), prices.keys()))
(45.23, 'ZZZ')
>>>
```



Find keys in common a.keys() & b.keys() # { 'x', 'y' } # Find keys in a that are not in b a.keys() - b.keys() # { 'z' } # Find (key,value) pairs in common a.items() & b.items() # { ('y', 2) } # Make a new dictionary with certain keys removed c = {key:a[key] for key in a.keys() - {'z', 'w'}} # c is {'x': 1, 'y': 2}

<pre>def dedupe(items): seen = set() for item in items: if item not in seen: yield item seen.add(item)</pre>	<pre>def dedupe(items, key=None): seen = set() for item in items: val = item if key is None else key(item) if val not in seen: yield item seen.add(val)</pre>
--	---

```
>>> a = [1, 5, 2, 1, 9, 1, 5, 10] >>> a = [ {'x':1, 'y':2}, {'x':1, 'y':2}, {'x':2, 'y':4}]
>>> list(dedupe(a))
[1, 5, 2, 9, 10]
[1, 5, 2, 9, 10]
[{'x': 1, 'y': 2}, {'x': 1, 'y': 3}, {'x': 2, 'y': 4}]
>>> list(dedupe(a, key=lambda d: d['x']))
[{'x': 1, 'y': 2}, {'x': 2, 'y': 4}]
>>>
```

```
####### 0123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890140:48])
```

```
SHARES = slice(20,32)
PRICE = slice(40,48)
```

```
cost = int(record[SHARES]) * float(record[PRICE])
```

```
>>> items = [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]
                                            10
>>> a = slice(2, 4)
>>> items[2:4]
                                            50
[2, 3]
>>> items[a]
                                            2
[2, 3]
>>> items[a] = [10,11]
>>> items
[0, 1, 10, 11, 4, 5, 6]
>>> del items[a]
                                            . . .
>>> items
[0, 1, 4, 5, 6]
                                            . . .
                                            W
```

```
>>> a = slice(10, 50, 2)
>>> a.start
>>> a.stop
>>> a.step
>>> s = 'HelloWorld'
>>> a.indices(len(s))
(5, 10, 2)
>>> for i in range(*a.indices(len(s))):
        print(s[i])
Г
```

```
>>> morewords = ['why','are','you','not','looking','in','my','eyes']
>>> for word in morewords:
... word_counts[word] += 1
```

```
>>> word_counts['eyes']
9
```

>>> word_counts.update(morewords)

```
>>> a = Counter(words)
>>> b = Counter(morewords)
>>> a
Counter({'eyes': 8, 'the': 5, 'look': 4, 'into': 3, 'my': 3, 'around': 2,
         "you're": 1, "don't": 1, 'under': 1, 'not': 1})
>>> b
Counter({'eyes': 1, 'looking': 1, 'are': 1, 'in': 1, 'not': 1, 'you': 1,
         'my': 1, 'why': 1})
>>> # Combine counts
>>> c = a + b
>>> C
Counter({'eyes': 9, 'the': 5, 'look': 4, 'my': 4, 'into': 3, 'not': 2,
         'around': 2. "vou're": 1. "don't": 1. 'in': 1. 'why': 1.
         'looking': 1, 'are': 1, 'under': 1, 'you': 1})
>>> # Subtract counts
>>> d = a - b
>>> d
Counter({'eyes': 7, 'the': 5, 'look': 4, 'into': 3, 'my': 2, 'around': 2,
         "you're": 1, "don't": 1, 'under': 1})
```

Breadth-First Search

Strategy: expand a shallowest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a FIFO queue





Depth-First Search

Strategy: expand a deepest node first

Implementation: Fringe is a LIFO stack





DFS vs BFS

- If you know a solution is not far from the root of the tree, a breadth first search (BFS) might be better.
- If the tree is very deep and solutions are rare, depth first search (DFS) might take an extremely long time, but BFS could be faster.
- If the tree is very wide, a BFS might need too much memory, so it might be completely impractical.
- If solutions are frequent but located deep in the tree, BFS could be impractical.
- If the search tree is very deep you will need to restrict the search depth for depth first search (DFS), anyway (for example with iterative deepening).

Iterative Deepening

- Idea: get DFS's space advantage with BFS's time / shallow-solution advantages
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 1. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 2. If no solution...
 - Run a DFS with depth limit 3.
- Isn't that wastefully redundant?
 - Generally most work happens in the lowest level searched, so not so bad!



Python Code for Iterative Deepening

```
# A function to perform a Depth-Limited search
# from given source 'src'
def DLS(src,target,maxDepth):
    if src == target : return True
    # If reached the maximum depth, stop recursing.
    if maxDepth <= 0 : return False
    # Recur for all the vertices adjacent to this vertex
    for i in graph[src]:
            if(DLS(i,target,maxDepth-1)):
                return True
    return False
# IDDFS to search if target is reachable from v.
# It uses recursive DLS()
def IDDFS(src,target, maxDepth):
    # Repeatedly depth-limit search till the
    # maximum depth
    for i in range(maxDepth):
        if (DLS(src, target, i)):
            return True
    return False
```

Cost-Sensitive Search



BFS finds the shortest path in terms of number of actions. It does not find the least-cost path. We will now cover a similar algorithm which does find the least-cost path.

Uniform Cost Search

Strategy: expand a cheapest node first:

Fringe is a priority queue (*priority: cumulative cost*)





Uniform Cost Search (UCS) Properties

- What nodes does UCS expand?
 - Processes all nodes with cost less than cheapest solution!
 - If that solution costs C^* and arcs cost at least ε , then the "effective depth" is roughly $C^*\!/\!\varepsilon$
 - Takes time O(b^{C*/c}) (exponential in effective depth)
- How much space does the fringe take?
 - Has roughly the last tier, so O(b^{C*/})
- Is it complete?
 - Assuming best solution has a finite cost and minimum arc cost is positive, yes!
- Is it optimal?
 - Yes! (skipping the proof for now)



Uniform Cost Issues

Remember: UCS explores increasing cost contours

- The good: UCS is complete and optimal!
- The bad:
 - Explores options in every "direction"
 - No information about goal location
- We'll fix that soon!





BFS/DFS/UCS

Breadth-first search

- <u>Good</u>: optimal, works well when many options, but not many actions required
- <u>Bad</u>: assumes all actions have equal cost

• Depth-first search

- <u>Good</u>: memory-efficient, works well when few options, but lots of actions required
- <u>Bad</u>: not optimal, can run infinitely, assumes all actions have equal cost

Uniform-cost search

- <u>Good</u>: optimal, handles variable-cost actions
- <u>Bad</u>: explores all options, no information about goal location

Basically Dijkstra's Algorithm!

Dijkstra's algorithm (Uniform-cost search)

Strategy: expand a cheapest node first:

Fringe is a priority queue (*priority: cumulative cost*)





Search example: Pancake Problem



Rule: a spatula can be inserted at any interval and flip all pancakes above it. Cost: Number of pancakes flipped.

Pancake BFS

Draw it by yourself!

Pancake UCS

Draw it by yourself!

Pancake DFS

State space graph with costs as weights



Pancake Optimal

State space graph with costs as weights



Project 1: Search (due 09/13)

Question 1 (3 points): Finding a Fixed Food Dot using Depth First Search

In searchAgents.py, you'll find a fully implemented SearchAgent, which plans out a path through Pacman's world and then executes that path step-by-step. The search algorithms for formulating a plan are not implemented -- that's your job.

First, test that the SearchAgent is working correctly by running:

python pacman.py -l tinyMaze -p SearchAgent -a fn=tinyMazeSearch

Question 2 (3 points): Breadth First Search

Implement the breadth-first search (BFS) algorithm in the breadthFirstSearch function in uninformed_search.py. Again, write a graph search algorithm that avoids expanding any already visited states. Test your code the same way you did for depth-first search.

python pacman.py -l mediumMaze -p SearchAgent -a fn=bfs

Question 3 (4 points): Uniform Cost Search

While BFS will find a fewest-actions path to the goal, we might want to find paths that are "best" in other senses. Consider mediumDottedMaze, where food is concentrated in the eastern half of the map, and mediumScaryMaze, where that side of the map is full of ghosts.

By changing the cost function, we can encourage Pacman to find different paths through the maze. For example, we can charge more for steps in the eastern half of the map when it's full of dangerous ghosts, and less when it's full of tasty pellets, and a rational Pacman agent should adjust its behavior in response.

Implement the uniform-cost graph search algorithm in the uniformCostSearch function in uninformed_search.py.

How to <u>efficiently</u> solve search problems with variable-cost actions, using information about the goal state?

- Heuristics
- Greedy approach
- A* search

Search Heuristics

• A heuristic is:

- A function that *estimates* how close a state is to a goal
- Designed for a particular search problem
- Examples: Manhattan distance, Euclidean distance for pathing

Note that the heuristic is a property of the state , not the action taken to
get to the state!





Pancake Heuristics

<u>Heuristic 1</u>: the number of pancakes that are out of place



Pancake Heuristics

<u>Heuristic 2</u>: how many pancakes are on top of a smaller pancake?



Pancake Heuristics

<u>Heuristic 3</u>: All zeros (aka *null heuristic*, or "I like waffles better anyway")



Straight-line Heuristic in Romania



h(x)

Greedy Search



Greedy Straight-Line Search in Romania

• Expand the node that seems closest...



h(x)

Greedy Search

- Strategy: expand a node that you think is closest to a goal state
 - Heuristic: estimate of distance to nearest goal for each state
- A common case:
 - Best-first takes you straight to the (<u>non-optimal</u>) goal
- Worst-case: like a badly-guided DFS
- What goes wrong?
 - Doesn't take <u>real</u> path cost into account





Next class

A* search